UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,928	01/20/2006	Kevin R. Boyle	EPC-014	9395
25962 SLATER & MA	7590 02/18/200 ATSIL, L.L.P.	9	EXAMINER	
17950 PRESTO	ON RD, SUITE 1000		DUONG, DIEU HIEN	
DALLAS, TX 75252-5793			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,928	BOYLE, KEVIN R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIEU HIEN T. DUONG	2821
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u> 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,14 and 16-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,14 and 16-24 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/565,928 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/06/2009 has been entered. In virtue of this request, claims 5, 12-13 and 15 are canceled; claims 19-24 are newly added; thus, claims 1-4, 6-11, 14 and 16-24 are currently in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8-10, 14, 16-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (US 4,827,266), hereinafter "Sato" in view of Johnson (US 6,236,368 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 9 and 17, Sato discloses, in Figure 7, a communications apparatus comprising a ground plane (2); a patch antenna (1) spaced from the ground plane (2), the patch antenna (1) not having any slot; a dielectric between the ground

and the planar antenna; and a feed (4, 6, 11) for coupling the patch antenna (1), the feed comprising components (4, 6, 11) that are physically attached to a main surface of the patch antenna (1), the components (4, 6, 11) for reactively tuning the patch antenna by tuning a first frequency inductively and a second frequency capacitively, the first frequency being lower than the second frequency.

Sato does not disclose a housing; a printed circuit board (PCB) within the housing having rf circuitry disposed thereon.

Johnson discloses, in Figure 1, a housing; a printed circuit board (PCB) within the housing having rf circuitry disposed thereon.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the printed circuit board and the house of Johnson in the antenna assembly of Sato to achieve the claimed invention, doing so would provide a wireless communications device having an antenna of a small size and an excellent impedance characteristic (Sato, col. 1, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 4, 8, 10, 14 and 16, Sato/Johnson disclose, (Sato, Figure 7), wherein the components are located adjacent the dielectric; wherein the components comprise a transmission line; wherein the dielectric is air.

Regarding claim 6, Sato/Johnson disclose every feature of claimed invention except for wherein the planar antenna is a planar inverted-L antenna (PILA). However such difference is not of patentable merits since it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the planar antenna being a planar inverted-L antenna and such

Application/Control Number: 10/565,928 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

modification would have involved a mere change in the type of a antenna. A change in type is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 19-22, Sato/Johnson disclose, (Sato, Figure 7), wherein the components (4, 6, 11) are physically located between the patch antenna (1) and the ground plane (2).

Regarding claims 23-24, Sato/Johnson disclose, (Sato, Figure 7), wherein the components (4, 6, 11) are surrounded by the dielectric.

4. Claims 2, 7, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (US 4,827,266), hereinafter "Sato" in view of Johnson (US 6,236,368 B1) and further in view of Kushihi (US 2002/0044092 A1 of record).

Regarding claims 2, 7, 11 and 18, Sato/Johnson disclose every feature of claimed invention except for the components comprising a series connected, parallel L-C network.

Kushihi discloses, in Figure 1, the components comprising a series connected, parallel L-C network.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connection of the components of Sato/Johnson with the connection of the components as taught by Kushihi in order to enhance the antenna sensitivity and reduce the cost of the antenna (see Kushihi, paragraph [0019]).

## Inquiry

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIEU HIEN T. DUONG whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/565,928 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

(571)272-8980. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, from

8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Douglas W. Owens can be reached on 571-272-1662. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

02/15/2009

DD

Au 2821

/Trinh Vo Dinh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2821